



TITLE:

On Ideals in H^∞ Whose Closures are Intersections of Maximal Ideals (Harmonic, Analytic function spaces and Linear Operators, II)

AUTHOR(S):

Izuchi, Keiji

CITATION:

Izuchi, Keiji. On Ideals in H^∞ Whose Closures are Intersections of Maximal Ideals (Harmonic, Analytic function spaces and Linear Operators, II). 数理解析研究所講究録 2002, 1277: 49-55

ISSUE DATE:

2002-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/42319>

RIGHT:

On Ideals in H^∞ Whose Closures are Intersections of Maximal Ideals

新潟大・理 泉池敬司 (Keiji Izuchi)

Faculty of Science

Niigata University

§1. Introduction

Let H^∞ be the Banach algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk D . We denote by $M(H^\infty)$ the set of non-zero multiplicative linear functionals of H^∞ endowed with the weak*-topology of the dual space of H^∞ . Identifying a point in D with its point evaluation, we think as $D \subset M(H^\infty)$. For $\varphi \in M(H^\infty)$, put $\text{Ker } \varphi = \{f \in H^\infty; \varphi(f) = 0\}$. Then $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is a maximal ideal in H^∞ , and for a maximal ideal I in H^∞ there exists $\psi \in M(H^\infty)$ such that $I = \text{Ker } \psi$. Usually $M(H^\infty)$ is called the maximal ideal space of H^∞ . For $f \in H^\infty$, the function $\hat{f}(\varphi) = \varphi(f)$ on $M(H^\infty)$ is called the Gelfand transform of f . We identify f with \hat{f} , so that we think of H^∞ the closed subalgebra of continuous functions on $M(H^\infty)$. Let L^∞ be the Banach algebra of bounded measurable functions on ∂D . We denote by $M(L^\infty)$ the maximal ideal space of L^∞ . We may think that $M(L^\infty) \subset M(H^\infty)$ and $M(L^\infty)$ is the Shilov boundary of H^∞ , that is, the smallest closed subset of $M(H^\infty)$ on which every function in H^∞ attains its maximal modulus. A nice reference on this subject is [3].

For $f \in H^\infty$, there exists a radial limit $f(e^{i\theta})$ for almost everywhere. Let h be a bounded measurable function on ∂D such that $\int_0^{2\pi} \log |h| d\theta/2\pi > -\infty$. Put

$$f(z) = \exp \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |h(e^{i\theta})| d\theta/2\pi \right), \quad z \in D.$$

A function of this form is called outer, and $|f(e^{i\theta})| = |h(e^{i\theta})|$ almost everywhere. A function $u \in H^\infty$ is called inner if $|u(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ a.e. on ∂D . For a sequence $\{z_n\}_n$ in D with $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$, there corresponds a Blaschke product

$$b(z) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{-\bar{z}_n}{|z_n|} \frac{z - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}, \quad z \in D.$$

A Blaschke product is called interpolating if for every bounded sequence of complex numbers $\{a_n\}_n$ there exists $h \in H^\infty$ such that $h(z_n) = a_n$ for every n . For a non-negative bounded singular measure $\mu, \mu \neq 0$, on ∂D , let

$$\psi_\mu(z) = \exp \left(- \int_{\partial D} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu \right), \quad z \in D.$$

Then ψ_μ is inner and called a singular function. It is well known that every function in H^∞ is factored as an inner function times an outer function, and an inner function is factored as a Blaschke product times a singular function.

For a subset E of $M(H^\infty)$, let $I(E) = \bigcap \{Ker \varphi; \varphi \in E\}$ be the intersection of maximal ideals associated with points in E . For $f \in H^\infty$, let $Z(f) = \{\varphi \in M(H^\infty); \varphi(f) = 0\}$ be the zero set of f . In this paper, we mean that an ideal is a non-zero proper ideal in H^∞ . For an ideal I in H^∞ , put $Z(I) = \bigcap \{Z(f); f \in I\}$, then $I \subset I(Z(I))$. An ideal I is called prime if for any $f, g \in H^\infty$ with $fg \in I$, then $f \in I$ or $g \in I$. There are many studies of prime ideals in H^∞ , see [4, 14, 15, 16]. Recently, Gorkin and Mortini [6, Theorem 1] proved that a closed prime ideal I is an intersection of maximal ideals, that is, $I = I(Z(I))$. And they pointed out that if I is a (non-closed) prime ideal such that $Z(I) \cap M(L^\infty) = \emptyset$, then the closure of I is an intersection of maximal ideals, that is, $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$.

Let E be a closed subset of $M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ such that $E \cap M(L^\infty) = \emptyset$. Let $J = J(E)$ be the ideal of H^∞ which consists of functions in H^∞ vanishing on some open subsets U of $M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ such that $E \subset U$. In [7, Theorem 4.2], Gorkin and Mortini also showed that $\bar{J} = I(Z(J))$. It is a very interesting problem to determine the class of ideals I satisfying $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$. But it seems difficult to give a complete characterization of these ideals.

In Section 2, we introduce the following condition on ideals I in H^∞ to study ideals I satisfying $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$. We prove that if an ideal I of H^∞ satisfies condition (α) , then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$. We also give some examples of ideals I satisfying condition (α) .

In Section 3, we study an ideal $I(f)$ of H^∞ which is generated by a noninvertible outer function f . There exist noninvertible outer functions f and g satisfying $\overline{I(f)} = I(Z(I(f)))$ and $\overline{I(g)} \neq I(Z(I(g)))$. As an application of the theorem given in Section 2, we characterize noninvertible outer functions f satisfying $\overline{I(f)} = I(Z(I(f)))$.

(α) For any $0 < \sigma < 1$ and a subset E of D such that $Z(I) \cap cl E = \emptyset$, there exists $h \in I$ such that $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $|h| \geq \sigma$ on E , where $cl E$ is the weak*-closure of E in $M(H^\infty)$.

2. Closure of ideals

We introduce the following condition on ideals I in H^∞ .

(α) For any $0 < \sigma < 1$ and a subset E of D such that $Z(I) \cap cl E = \emptyset$, there exists $h \in I$ such that $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $|h| \geq \sigma$ on E , where $cl E$ is the weak*-closure of E in $M(H^\infty)$.

The main theorem of this paper is the following.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let I be an ideal in H^∞ satisfying condition (α) . Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$.*

Generally the converse of Theorem 2.1 does not hold, but it holds for some ideals. Let G be the set of point φ in $M(H^\infty)$ such that $\varphi(b) = 0$ for some interpolating Blaschke product b . By Hoffman's work [11], G is an open subset of $M(H^\infty)$ and for each $\varphi \in G$ there exists a continuous one to one map L_φ from D into $M(H^\infty)$ such that $L_\varphi(0) = \varphi$ and $f \circ L_\varphi \in H^\infty$ for every $f \in H^\infty$. Put $P(\varphi) = L_\varphi(D)$, and this set is called the Gleason part containing φ . Then we have

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let I be an ideal in H^∞ such that $P(\varphi) \subset Z(I)$ for every $\varphi \in Z(I) \cap G$. Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$ if and only if I satisfies condition (α) .*

By the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, we have

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let I be an ideal in H^∞ algebraically generated by countable functions. Suppose that $P(\varphi) \subset Z(I)$ for every $\varphi \in Z(I) \cap G$. Then $I(Z(I))$ is a closed ideal generated by countable functions.*

Examples of ideals satisfying condition (α) are given in the following.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The following ideals I in H^∞ satisfy condition (α) .*

- (i) *I is a prime ideal in H^∞ which does not contain any interpolating Blaschke product.*
- (ii) *Let f be a function in H^∞ which does not vanish on D . Let I be the ideal in H^∞ algebraically generated by functions $f^{1/n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$*
- (iii) *Let E be a closed subset of $M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ such that $E \cap M(L^\infty) = \emptyset$. Let I be the ideal of functions in H^∞ which vanish on some open subsets U of $M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ such that $E \subset U$.*
- (iv) *Let \mathcal{S} be a set of non-negative bounded singular measures μ , $\mu \neq 0$, on ∂D . Suppose that \mathcal{S} satisfies the following conditions.*
 - (a) *For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\lambda \leq \mu \wedge \nu$, where $\mu \wedge \nu$ is the greatest lower bound of μ and ν ,*
 - (b) *For every $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ and a positive integer n , there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $n\lambda \leq \mu$.**Let I be the ideal algebraically generated by singular functions ψ_μ , $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$.*

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we have

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let f be a function in H^∞ which does not vanish on D . Let I be the ideal in H^∞ algebraically generated by functions $f^{1/n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then*

$$\bar{I} = I(Z(I)).$$

COROLLARY 2.3 [7, Theorem 4.2]. *Let E be a closed subset of $M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ such that $E \cap M(L^\infty) = \emptyset$. Let I be the ideal of functions in H^∞ which vanish on some open subsets U of $M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ such that $E \subset U$. Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$.*

We also have the following.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let I be a prime ideal in H^∞ . Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$.*

In [6], to prove that $I = I(Z(I))$ for a closed prime ideal I Gorkin and Mortini used the following formula given by Guillory and Sarason [9, pp.177-178]. Let R be an open subset of D such that $\partial R \cap D$ is a system of rectifiable curves. Then

$$\int_{\partial D} \frac{F}{u} dz = \int_{\partial R \cap D} \frac{F}{u} dz \quad (2.1)$$

for $F \in H^\infty$ and an inner function u satisfying $|u(z)| < \beta$ for $z \in R$ and $|u(z)| \geq \alpha$ for $z \in D \setminus R$, $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Formula (2.1) is used in several papers, see [8, 12, 13]. When u is not inner, equation (2.1) does not holds.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need another formula similar to (2.1). The following theorem is interesting in its own right.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f \in H^\infty$, $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2 < \sigma < 1$. Let R be an open subset of D such that $\partial R \cap D$ is a system of rectifiable curves satisfying*

(i) $|f(z)| < \varepsilon$ for $z \in R$.

We assign the usual orientation on ∂R . Put $\Gamma = \partial R \cap D$. Let $h \in H^\infty$ such that $\|h\|_\infty = 1$,

(ii) $0 < 1/2 \leq |h(z)|$ for $z \in D \setminus R$,

(iii) $|h(e^{i\theta})| \geq \sigma$ for almost every $e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$ with $|f(e^{i\theta})| > \varepsilon$.

Then

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{fF}{h} dz - \int_{\partial D} fF\bar{h} dz \right| \leq 4(\varepsilon + 1 - \sigma)\|F\|_1$$

for every $F \in H^\infty$, where $\|F\|_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta/2\pi$.

As an application of Theorem 2.2, we shall prove Theorem 2.1. Our theorems owe to the deep theorems due to Bourgain [2] and Suárez [18, 19].

Let $g(z) = (1 - z)/2$. Then g is an outer function and is not invertible in H^∞ . Let $I = gH^\infty$ be the ideal generated by g . Then it is not difficult to see that for $h \in I$,

$$\left\| h - hg \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1+z}{2} \right)^k \right) \right\|_\infty = \left\| h - h \left(1 - \left(\frac{1+z}{2} \right)^n \right) \right\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$. One might ask whether $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$ for an ideal I generated by a single outer function in H^∞ which is not invertible in H^∞ . To answer this question, we need to recall Jensen's equality. For a point $\varphi \in M(H^\infty)$, there is a probability measure μ_φ on $M(L^\infty)$ such that $\int_{M(L^\infty)} f d\mu_\varphi = \varphi(f)$ for every $f \in H^\infty$. We denote by $\text{supp } \mu_\varphi$ the closed support set of μ_φ . Then the following Jensen inequality holds

$$\log |\varphi(f)| \leq \int_{M(L^\infty)} \log |f| d\mu_\varphi, \quad f \in H^\infty.$$

When it holds that

$$\log |\varphi(f)| = \int_{M(L^\infty)} \log |f| d\mu_\varphi,$$

we say that f satisfies Jensen's equality for $\varphi \in M(H^\infty)$. It is well known that every invertible function in H^∞ satisfies Jensen's equality for every point in $M(H^\infty)$, see [10, Chapter 10]. Our third theorem is

THEOREM 2.3. *Let f be an outer function in H^∞ which is not invertible in H^∞ . Let $I = fH^\infty$ be the ideal generated by f . Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$ if and only if f satisfies Jensen's equality for every point m in $M(H^\infty)$ with $m(f) \neq 0$.*

Axler and Shields [1, Proposition 5] showed that a function f in H^∞ satisfying $\text{Re } f > 0$ on D satisfies Jensen's equality for every point in $M(H^\infty)$. For an inner function q , the function $q + 1$ satisfies this condition. Put $QA = H^\infty \cap \overline{H^\infty + C}$, where C is the space of continuous functions on ∂D and $\overline{H^\infty + C}$ is the set of complex conjugates of functions in $H^\infty + C$. In [20], Wolff proved that for every $f \in L^\infty$ there exists an outer function $h \in QA$ such that $hf \in H^\infty + C$. When $f \notin H^\infty + C$, the function h is not invertible in H^∞ . So that there are many outer functions in QA which are not invertible in H^∞ . In [17], Sarason proved that if $f \in H^\infty$, then $f \in QA$ if and only if $f|_{\text{supp } \mu_\varphi}$ is constant for every $\varphi \in M(H^\infty) \setminus D$. Hence QA outer functions satisfy Jensen's equality for every $\varphi \in M(H^\infty)$. We have following corollaries as applications of Theorem 2.3.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let $I = fH^\infty$ be an ideal in H^∞ generated by a function f which is not invertible in H^∞ and $\text{Re } f > 0$ on D . Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$.*

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $I = fH^\infty$ be an ideal in H^∞ generated by an outer function in QA which is not invertible in H^∞ . Then $\bar{I} = I(Z(I))$.*

References

- [1] S. Axler and A. Shields, *Extensions of harmonic and analytic functions*, Pacific Math. 145(1990), 1-15.

- [2] J. Bourgain, *On finitely generated closed ideals in $H^\infty(D)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 35(1985), 163-174.
- [3] J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [4] P. Gorkin, *Prime ideals in closed subalgebras of L^∞* , Michigan Math. J. 33(1986), 315-323.
- [5] P. Gorkin, K. Izuchi and R. Mortini, *Higher order hulls in H^∞ II*, J. Funct. Anal., to appear.
- [6] P. Gorkin and R. Mortini, *Alling's conjecture on closed prime ideals in H^∞* , J. Funct. Anal. 148(1997), 185-190.
- [7] P. Gorkin and R. Mortini, *A survey of closed ideals in familiar function algebras*, Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1998), 161-170, Contemp. Math., 232, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [8] P. Gorkin and R. Mortini, *Synthesis sets for $H^\infty + C$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.
- [9] C. Guillory and D. Sarason, *Division in $H^\infty + C$* , Michigan Math. J. 28(1981), 173-181.
- [10] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- [11] K. Hoffman, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math. 86(1967), 74-111.
- [12] K. Izuchi and Y. Izuchi, *Inner functions and division in Douglas algebras*, Michigan Math. J. 33(1986), 435-443.
- [13] K. Izuchi and Y. Izuchi, *Inner functions and division in Douglas algebras II*, J. London Math. Soc. 38(1988), 146-152.
- [14] R. Mortini, *Finitely generated prime ideals in H^∞ and $A(D)$* , Math. Z. 191(1986), 297-302.
- [15] R. Mortini, *Closed and prime ideals in the algebra of bounded analytic functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 35(1987), 213-229.
- [16] R. Mortini, *Closed and prime ideals of weak Bezout type in H^∞* , J. Pure Appl. Alg. 75(1991), 63-73.
- [17] D. Sarason, *Functions of vanishing mean oscillation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 207(1975), 391-405.
- [18] D. Suárez, *Čech cohomology and covering dimension for the H^∞ maximal ideal space*, J. Funct. Anal. 123(1994), 233-263.
- [19] D. Suárez, *Trivial Gleason parts and the topological stable rank of H^∞* , Amer. J. Math. 118(1996), 879-904.

- [20] T. Wolff, *Two algebras of bounded functions*, Duke Math. J. 49(1982), 321-328.

izuchi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp